

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE— United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/364,794	07/30/1999	J. ERIC BERGE	7480-PA1CP2	9884	
27111 7	590 12/12/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROWN, MARTIN, HALLER & MCCLAIN LLP 1660 UNION STREET			SHAPIRO, J	SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A	
	CA 92101-2926		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			3653		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\Rightarrow N$		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Communication	09/364,794	BERGE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Sectors</u>	eptember 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 165-204 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 165-204 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 173,197 and 198 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form P	10-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the second secon	s have been received. s have been received in Applicate rity documents have been received in Applicate (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(at sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1200	ion No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional r in an Application ceived. and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet. a specific		
Attachment(s)	CT				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. 	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/364,794 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/3/03 has been entered.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 173 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, it is suggested that "communication into said branch" be reworded as "communication with said branch." Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 198 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 7, it appears that "said downstream said" should be "said downstream side." Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 197 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 197 depends from itself. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/364,794 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

6. Claims 165 and 192 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 7. Claim 165 recites the limitations "said ice" and "said remote locations", in lines 5 and 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. These, and other elements/limitations also have insufficient antecedent basis, of which those cited above are examples. It is suggested that the preamble read --an apparatus for conveying ice, comprising:-- with these limitations positively recited in the body of the claim. Note also in line 10, it appears that "plurality of receptors" should be --said plurality of receptors--. Claim 192 appears to have equivalent deficiencies.
- 8. Claim 167 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 165 recites "two, three or four alternate branch ice conduits." There are too many combinations presented, thereby making the claim indefinite.

9. Claim 169 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 169 recites in lines 1 and 2, "a shifter for motivating routing ice conveyance..." It is not clear what is being referred to, "motivating" or "routing."

Application/Control Number: 09/364,794

-Art Unit: 3653

10. Claim 173 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In lines 3 and 4, it is not clear what the phrase "and spaced apart from said second point" refers to. For example, does "spaced apart" refer only to the vacuum line or the branch conduit or the second point of connection.

11. Claim 174 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In lines 3-5, it is unclear what the phrase "such that in said internal breadth portion velocity of air moving..." It is suggested that a "comma" be placed after "portion" and before "velocity" with the word "the" being placed before both recitations of the word "velocity".

12. Claim 176 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what has a maximum inside width, the hollow conduit or the vacuum line, or another unstated limitation.

13. Claim 184 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear in lines 5 and 6, what "removes from said conduit."

-Art Unit: 3653

Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 165-204 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 15. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

None of the references taken individually or collectively teach or anticipate the apparatus for conveying ice having a source of ice, and having "a vacuum pump in fluid communication through a vacuum line with each said receptor for withdrawing air from said conduits and creating a vacuum... in said conduits" and said receptor, therefore resulting in the ice being pulled through the system rather than pushed through the system. Applicant's specification on p.6, lines 3-6, indicates that the use of a vacuum in such an ice system reduces noise produced by positive pressure systems.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is (703)308-3423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (703)306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)306-4195.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

Page 6

Jeffrey A. Shapiro Examiner Art Unit 3653

December 10, 2003

BONALD PAWALSH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600